

# Unraveling Communication Barriers among Apathetic Students in Media Writing

Gilang Surendra<sup>1,a)</sup>, Hamidah Izzatu Laily<sup>2,b)</sup>, Yohannes Telaumbanua<sup>3,c)</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup> Politeknik Negeri Padang, Sumatera Barat, Indonesia

<sup>a)</sup>Corresponding author: gilangsurendra@pnp.ac.id

<sup>b)</sup> hamidah@pnp.ac.id

<sup>c)</sup> yohannes@pnp.ac.id

**Abstract.** This qualitative research explores the communication barriers that contribute to apathy among students in the "Writing for Media" course at the English Department of Politeknik Negeri Padang. Utilizing in-depth interviews and direct observations, the study identifies the factors causing disengagement and examines how ineffective communication dynamics exacerbate apathy. By analyzing the experiences of apathetic students, the research aims to shed light on the underlying issues hindering their academic participation. Targeted findings will provide valuable insights for educators, facilitating the development of targeted strategies to enhance engagement and improve the learning environment. Furthermore, this research aspires to contribute to the ongoing discourse on educational effectiveness by deepening our understanding of how communication challenges affect student motivation and learning outcomes. By focusing on the relationship between communication barriers and apathy, this study not only seeks to improve academic performance but also to design inclusive educational strategies that promote student motivation, ultimately leading to a more dynamic classroom experience.

**Keywords:** Communication Barriers, Apathy, Writing for Media.

## INTRODUCTION

Padang State Polytechnic (PNP) is a vocational education institution that houses the English Department, where students explore various aspects of writing. These aspects range from Academic Writing, which develops academic writing skills, to Writing for Media Communication, which prepares students for effective communication through media, and Technical Writing, which equips them with technical writing skills. Each course is designed with the primary goal of enhancing students' writing abilities.

In the educational process, particularly in the Writing for Media course, the significance of effective communication cannot be overstated. It serves as the cornerstone for mastering the material. Nonetheless, instances of apathy frequently arise among students, precipitated by factors such as lack of interest and inadequate motivation. The ramifications of apathy are considerable, often impeding students' learning progress in the subject matter. When students disengage and lose enthusiasm for the learning process, their comprehension of the material suffers, adversely affecting their performance and the quality of their work.

Research by David S. Benders underscores that apathy among students often stems from insufficient motivation to engage in educational endeavors [1]. He elucidates that high levels of student apathy act as a pivotal barrier to academic success. Moreover, studies by Harper provide a comprehensive perspective on the pervasive consequences of apathy, linking it to decreased academic performance and a range of educational issues such as absenteeism and

diminished participation in extracurricular activities [2]. Collectively, these factors construct significant hurdles that hinder students from attaining quality education.

[10] further elaborates on this issue, identifying that socially and emotionally apathetic students frequently display negative attitudes, arriving late and exhibiting poor participation in class activities [3]. Their reluctance to engage also manifests in their reluctance to complete assigned tasks. This pattern disrupts concentration among peers and creates an uncomfortable learning atmosphere.

Moreover, apathy can arise from ineffective communication between educators and students. A failure in communication often results from various barriers that impede interaction. Within the framework of teaching and learning, effective communication is vital for achieving educational objectives. As stated by Joseph A. DeVito, "Effective communication occurs when the receiver interprets the sender's message as it was intended" [4]. This emphasizes the necessity for shared understanding to avert the potential for apathy among students and instructors within the learning milieu.

### *Problem Statement and Research Objectives*

This study aims to address several key objectives that are central to understanding the challenges faced by students in the Writing for Media course. First, it seeks to identify the specific factors contributing to student apathy in relation to this course. By gaining insight into these factors, educators can develop targeted strategies to engage students more effectively. Secondly, the research intends to explore the potential communication barriers that arise between educators and students. Julia T. Wood [5] (2016) identifies six major types of communication barriers: (1) noise, which can be physical, psychological, or semantic; (2) language and cultural differences, which may lead to misunderstandings or alienation; (3) misinterpretations of messages due to ambiguities or assumptions; (4) unclear goals and expectations, causing confusion among communicators; (5) communicator limitations, where the sender or receiver lacks the necessary skills or knowledge to engage effectively; and (6) disagreements in values and beliefs, which can create resistance or conflict. Recognizing and addressing these barriers is essential for cultivating effective educational environments that promote meaningful interactions and learning outcomes.

Effective communication is essential for successful learning outcomes, and understanding these barriers is crucial for fostering a constructive educational environment. Effective communication is widely recognized as essential for successful interactions, particularly in educational and organizational contexts. Experts such as Adler and Elmhurst [6] (2010) suggest that effective communication hinges on clarity, active listening, and adaptability, ensuring that messages are tailored to the audience's knowledge and expectations to avoid misunderstandings.

Lastly, the study aims to comprehend how identified communication barriers contribute to student apathy and disengagement in educational settings. By unpacking this complex relationship, the research seeks to reveal how obstacles such as unclear messaging, cultural misunderstandings, and ineffective feedback loops hinder students' motivation and participation. Understanding these dynamics will not only offer valuable insights into the nature of classroom interactions but also provide practical recommendations for educators. These findings could inform more inclusive and effective communication strategies, ultimately leading to improved teaching practices and more engaging learning environments.

The significance of this research extends across various dimensions. Not only does it align with the Strategic Research Plan of Padang State Polytechnic by addressing pertinent research needs within the study program, but it also aspires to enhance teaching effectiveness. By understanding and addressing the communication barriers that lead to student apathy, educators can tailor their approaches to facilitate better engagement. Finally, this research aims to improve the relationships between educators and students, cultivating a more supportive and positive learning environment conducive to academic success.

## **METHODS**

This study adopts a qualitative case study approach to explore the specific contextual factors contributing to student apathy within the Writing for Media course. According to Yin, case studies are particularly effective in capturing the complexity and depth of real-world phenomena within their specific contexts, allowing for a thorough investigation of the intricate factors at play [7]. The qualitative design enables the researcher to delve into participants' perspectives, experiences, and the underlying reasons for their disengagement.

Multiple data collection methods are employed to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the issue. These include in-depth interviews, which allow participants to express their thoughts and experiences freely, providing rich, detailed data on the subjective experiences of student apathy [8]. Participant observation is another method used, enabling the researcher to directly observe classroom dynamics, student behavior, and interaction patterns within the natural setting of the course. This immersive approach offers insights into non-verbal cues and group dynamics that may not be captured through interviews alone [9]. Additionally, Focus Group Discussions (FGD) are conducted with both students and instructors to explore collective perceptions and uncover any shared experiences or concerns regarding the learning environment [10].

The participants in this study include students demonstrating signs of apathy, course instructors, and other relevant academic staff. Thematic analysis is used for data analysis, which involves systematically coding and categorizing the data to identify recurrent themes and patterns. Braun and Clarke argue that thematic analysis is well-suited for qualitative studies as it provides a flexible, yet rigorous method for analyzing complex qualitative data [11]. By applying this method, the study aims to uncover key themes related to communication barriers and their impact on student engagement.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the research findings, the communication barriers faced by apathetic students in the *Writing for Media* course can be categorized into several distinct themes. Each of these barriers represents a specific hindrance to effective communication and contributes to the overall disengagement observed in these students.

### Noise

Noise, both in its physical and emotional forms, significantly affects the concentration and focus of students during classroom activities. Physical noise, such as construction sounds or other environmental distractions, can interfere with students' ability to hear and process verbal instructions effectively. Emotional disturbances, such as stress or personal issues, also create a mental barrier that hinders the reception of information.

In the context of this research, noise can manifest as both technical and environmental barriers to learning. Astin (1999) asserts that "a supportive learning environment can minimize student apathy and enhance their engagement in academic activities" [12]. One of the students involved in this study reported encountering difficulties in using the required word processing software, Microsoft Word, for assignments. One notable environmental barrier at Politeknik Negeri Padang is the ongoing construction projects around campus, which generate considerable noise. This constant background noise disrupts students' concentration during lectures and independent study, further exacerbating their disengagement from the course.

Research indicates that noise is a critical factor in classroom communication, as it disrupts the channel through which messages are conveyed and received [13]. In the context of apathetic students, such distractions exacerbate their lack of engagement, as they are already less inclined to participate actively in learning. Reducing both physical and emotional noise could be an essential strategy in fostering a more conducive learning environment for these students [14].

### Language and Cultural Differences

Language and cultural diversity within the student presents another significant communication barrier. Students from various linguistic backgrounds may struggle to comprehend specific terminologies or culturally embedded references used in teaching materials. Such misunderstandings often lead to confusion and a sense of alienation, further discouraging participation.

DeVito [15] argues that effective interpersonal communication requires a shared understanding of language and context. When these elements are absent, as is often the case with students from diverse cultural backgrounds, communication breaks down, and learning is compromised. In the *Writing for Media* course, overcoming language and cultural barriers could involve the use of more inclusive teaching methods that accommodate different linguistic and cultural perspectives [16].

In the context of this research, such differences can lead students to feel alienated from the course content. The inability to comprehend common journalistic idioms and English expressions used in media writing results in student writing that often lacks fluency and naturalness.

Moreover, certain writing concepts that differ from the cultural norms students encounter in their daily lives, such as the use of an 'angle' or perspective in news writing, can cause confusion, uncertainty, and diminished

confidence. This is especially true when compared to their peers who grasp the material more quickly. According to Gudykunst and Kim (2003), cultural and linguistic barriers are frequent causes of misunderstanding, which can trigger apathy in learning [17].

### **Interpretation Differences**

Cultural perception differences and the inability to communicate effectively can hinder interactions between instructors and students, thereby affecting student motivation and engagement in the learning process. Feelings of alienation and an inability to adapt to the course's expectations have also been identified as key factors contributing to student apathy. Julia T. Wood explains that interpretative differences in communication arise when individuals possess varying experiences, backgrounds, and knowledge. This phenomenon often results in messages being interpreted differently by the receiver than intended by the communicator. In an educational context, particularly within the Writing for Media course, such differences can create complex barriers, as subjective interpretation significantly influences writing outcomes. Instructors may establish specific standards regarding what constitutes compelling or strong writing, often informed by their professional experiences, preferred journalistic styles, or theoretical frameworks taught in class.

For instance, students may find themselves grappling with the instructor's expectations of what constitutes "interesting writing." While some students may consider descriptive and elaborate writing as engaging, instructors might prioritize a more concise and direct approach. This divergence in understanding can lead to frustration and confusion among students who strive to create work that aligns with their perception of interesting writing but ultimately fails to meet the instructor's criteria. As students struggle to grasp these expectations, they may experience uncertainty and a diminished sense of competence, which can negatively impact their motivation.

Kuh (2003) emphasizes that effective communication between instructors and students is essential for fostering engagement and reducing apathy. A strong communicative relationship can create a more inclusive learning environment that encourages active student participation in the educational process [18]. Furthermore, each student brings unique backgrounds and experiences that shape their interpretation of instructions and course materials. For example, students with a background in creative writing may approach assignments with a descriptive and artistic style, while those with technical writing experience may focus on precision and efficiency. Such differences can lead to varying interpretations of what is considered effective writing within the context of media studies, further complicating the learning experience.

### **Unclear Objectives and Expectations**

Julia T. Wood emphasizes that clarity of purpose and expectations is crucial for effective communication. Ambiguity in goals and expectations can lead to confusion and frustration, hindering both the communication process and the learning experience. In an academic setting, unclear objectives for assignments can create significant uncertainty for students.

For instance, one student expressed confusion regarding the true objectives of the assignments given to them. They often found themselves questioning whether the purpose of the tasks was to foster creativity or to ensure technical accuracy. When the objectives of assignments are not clearly defined, students struggle to determine their approach, feeling compelled to balance creative ideas with technical precision without clear guidance on the instructor's priorities.

This lack of clarity can result in students feeling hesitant and lacking confidence in completing their assignments. They may fear that their efforts will not meet the instructor's expectations, which can ultimately diminish their motivation to engage fully with the material. If students frequently find themselves unsure about assignment goals, they may begin to develop a sense of apathy toward the course as a whole.

Additionally, interviews with other students revealed that ambiguity in assessment criteria also significantly impacts student motivation. Each academic task should have a well-defined purpose, whether it is to enhance technical skills, foster creativity, or develop analytical abilities. When these objectives are unclear, students struggle to understand what is expected of them, which further complicates their learning experience and reduces their overall engagement.

### **Communicator Limitations**

Julia T. Wood acknowledges that limitations in the communicator's abilities or knowledge can serve as significant barriers in the communication process. When a communicator is unable to convey messages clearly or assist the receiver in understanding information, the effectiveness of communication is compromised. In an academic context, such limitations often manifest as a lack of concrete explanations and challenges in presenting complex material in a manner that is accessible to students.

In this research, one student expressed frustration regarding the overly technical explanations provided about how to write an opinion article, which they found difficult to comprehend. This highlights the critical role of instructors in facilitating student understanding by illustrating how theoretical concepts are applied in practice. Without clear examples, students may struggle to visualize how the taught concepts can be implemented in real-world situations.

When students perceive the material as overly complex or challenging to understand, they are likely to lose interest in the course. Difficulties in grasping essential concepts, such as opinion article writing, can hinder their ability to complete assignments effectively, ultimately reducing their motivation and increasing apathy toward the course. Moreover, if instructors fail to provide adequate explanations or are unable to simplify complex material, students may feel unsupported in their quest for academic success.

Students who sense a lack of support from their instructors often exhibit decreased motivation and participation. They may become more passive in class discussions, disengaging from interactive learning opportunities, and displaying a general sense of apathy toward their assignments and the course overall. This underscores the importance of effective communication and teaching strategies in fostering an engaging and supportive learning environment.

### **Value and Belief Conflicts**

Julia T. Wood identifies value and belief disagreements as barriers in communication that can lead to conflicts and hinder constructive understanding. Such disagreements can create tension in the learning process, particularly when students perceive that the material being taught or the approaches employed conflict with their personal beliefs. For instance, one student expressed that they had adhered to established guidelines and ethical standards in their writing, while their instructor disagreed, citing a divergence from the accepted writing standards.

Personal values and beliefs significantly influence how individuals respond to academic material. When topics or methodologies in a course are perceived as contradictory to students' personal values, it can lead to discomfort and conflict. This particular student felt that their perspective on ethics in media writing was more aligned with correct practices compared to the instructor's viewpoint.

The differences in perspectives illustrate that students may hold varying beliefs regarding the ethical standards that should govern their writing. This student may perceive the instructor's views as not reflecting the ethical principles they consider important. Such disagreements can result in students feeling alienated or unsupported in the classroom.

If students believe that their ethical perspectives are neither valued nor acknowledged, they may become increasingly reluctant to participate in discussions or to engage fully with their assignments. This disengagement can lead to a decline in motivation and foster a sense of apathy toward the course. Thus, it is essential to recognize and address these value conflicts to create a more inclusive and supportive learning environment that encourages active participation and engagement from all students.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, this study elucidates the critical communication barriers that contribute to student apathy within the Writing for Media course at Politeknik Negeri Padang. The identified barriers—noise, language and cultural differences, interpretation variances, ambiguous objectives, communicator limitations, and conflicts in values—exert a profound influence on student engagement and motivation. These findings underscore the importance of effective communication in fostering a conducive learning environment.

Educators are urged to develop and implement targeted strategies that address these barriers, thereby enhancing engagement and academic performance among students. Such strategies may include clearer communication of objectives and expectations, incorporation of diverse cultural perspectives, and the provision of robust support for students struggling with course material. Additionally, this research opens avenues for future investigations into innovative pedagogical methods that can further mitigate communication challenges in diverse educational contexts.

Ultimately, by addressing the identified barriers and prioritizing effective communication, academic institutions can cultivate a more inclusive and engaging learning atmosphere that empowers all students to thrive in their educational pursuits.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] T. Ahmed, *Reservoir Engineering Handbook*, 3rd ed. Elsevier Inc., 2006.

- [2] J. W. Amyx, D. M. Bass Jr., and R. L. Whiting, *Petroleum Reservoir Engineering – Physical Properties*. New York-Toronto-London: McGraw-Hill, 1960.
- [3] N. J. Clark, *Element of Petroleum Reservoir*, Revision ed. American Institute of Mining.
- [4] R. K. Yin, *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*, 5th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 2014.
- [5] S. J. Taylor, R. Bogdan, and M. DeVault, *Introduction to Qualitative Research Methods: A Guidebook and Resource*, 4th ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley, 2016.
- [6] M. Angrosino, *Doing Ethnographic and Observational Research*. London: Sage, 2007.
  
- [7] R. A. Krueger and M. A. Casey, *Focus Groups: A Practical Guide for Applied Research*, 5th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 2014.
- [8] V. Braun and V. Clarke, "Using thematic analysis in psychology," *Qual. Res. Psychol.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 77–101, 2006.
- [9] D. S. Benders, "Student Apathy: The Downfall of Education," SSRN, Dec. 5, 2011. [Online]. Available: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1968613>.
- [10] Y. Telaumbanua, G. Surendra, and H. Izzatu laily, "Social-Emotional Learning: Key To Improving Apathetic EFL Students' Social-Emotional Skills And Academic Writing Performance," 2023.
- [11] J. A. DeVito, *The Interpersonal Communication Book*, 14th ed. Boston, MA: Pearson, 2016.
- [12] S. A. Beebe and S. J. Beebe, *Communication: Principles for a Lifetime*, 3rd ed. Boston, MA: Pearson, 2009.
- [13] P. Chaney and T. Martin, *Communication: Key to Effective Leadership*. New York: Pearson, 2009.
- [14] J. T. Wood, *Interpersonal Communication: Everyday Encounters*, 8th ed. Boston, MA: Cengage Learning, 2020.
- [15] J. W. Creswell and C. N. Poth, *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches*, 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 2017.
- [16] D. Mulyana, *Ilmu Komunikasi: Suatu Pengantar*. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya, 2014.
- [17] A. Bandura, *Self-Efficacy: The Exercise of Control*. New York: W. H. Freeman, 1997.
- [18] J. T. Guthrie and A. Wigfield, *Reading Engagement: Motivating Readers through Integrated Instruction*, 1st ed. Newark, DE: International Reading Association, 2007.